



Creating Quality Assessment in EEG and cEEG

Brian J. Galdis, BS, R. EEG T., CLTM, Pamela Parker, R. EEG T., Brian Hindsley, RT Spectrum Health System Grand Rapids, MI

10.21.2017





Technically Sound?







How about this sample?

2 F7-T7 50] 3 T7-P7 50] 4 P701 50] 5 Fp1-F3 50] 5 Fp1-F3 50]	
	an a
	Manager Manager And Manager
5 F21-F3 [Manual and Manual Manu	and a man many man man was a second
	alaparanaphilandanation sendapanations that also shall
8 P301	alynylan ar an thar an than an than an than that an that an that an that an that an that and an
a rece my more than the second and the second and the second of the seco	warman warden warman and a second second
10 CZ-PZ 201 ware the share the shar	and many many many
11 52254 m [allowellower and an allower and a state of the second and and a state of the second	hydyllywhanhallydd fredhallydd yn hailwannad =
15 Laca] a la ana ana kanana	William Charles and Market and Market and Market and
13 C4.P4	white white white a preserve and a second
15 Fp2-F8 🕬	
	MANENN WINNAMAN
	ana amampina yang kanalayat
	moundances and recorded
19 X7.X2 200 [mm/m/mm/mm/mm/m/m/m/m/m/m/m/m/m/m/m/m	man man man man man man
20 LOAT I WALANA WARANA	appropriate propriation of the second s
21 ROAZ ON ADDING WARMAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	wanter water and the second of





What's the whole story though...







Spectrum Health EEG by the Numbers

Techs on Staff: 55 Total (60% R. EEG T. or CNIM)

Adult Studies

Pediatric Studies



*2017 statistics are projected totals based on patient studies performed to date





Spectrum Health by the Numbers







Establishing Quality Metrics – Early 2016

1. Identify Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

- A. Technical components of EEGs
 - i. Increased technical proficiency = Increased diagnostic yield
- B. Quantifiable
- C. Adhere to national and health system standards
- D. Difference in short term studies and cEEG/LTM studies

2. Establish a Benchmark

- A. Review 2015 studies against KPI
- B. Sample size to be statistically significant against the total population of studies
- C. Objectivity!!

3. Present results to staff and set goals





Short Term EEG (6 hours or less):

- 1. Square Wave & Biological Cal (10 seconds each)
- Eyes Open/Eyes Closed x2 (20 seconds each beginning & end)
- 3. Photic Completed (Reason given if unable to complete)
- Hyperventilation Completed (Contraindication given if unable to complete)
- 5. Check A/V during study

- 6. 3 Required Montages (Long. Bipolar, Transverse, Ref)
- 7. 3 Impedance Checks (Beginning, Middle, End)
- 8. End study in Longitudinal Bipolar Montage
- 9. At least 20 minutes of brainwave activity recorded
- 10. Square Wave Calibration to end (10 seconds)





Short Term EEG (6 hours or less):

ACNS guidelines

- 1. Square Wave & Biological Cal (10 seconds each)
- 2. Eyes Open/Eyes Closed x2 (20 seconds each beginning & end)
- 3. Photic Completed (Reason given if unable to complete)
- Hyperventilation Completed (Contraindication given if unable to complete)
- 5. Check A/V during study

- 6. 3 Required Montages (Long. Bipolar, Transverse, Ref)
- 7. 3 Impedance Checks (Beginning, Middle, End)
- 8. End study in Longitudinal Bipolar Montage
- 9. At least 20 minutes of brainwave activity recorded
- 10. Square Wave Calibration to end (10 seconds)





LTM/cEEG:

- 1. Square Wave & Biological Cal (10 seconds each)
- 2. Begin Study in Longitudinal Bipolar Montage
- 3. Impedance Check at Beginning of Study
- 4. Test A/V during beginning of study
- 5. Eyes Open/Eyes Closed x2 (20 seconds each at beginning)

- Photic Completed (Reason given if unable to complete)
- 7. Hyperventilation Completed (Contraindication given if unable to complete)
- 8. Test Event Marker
- 9. Impedance Check before leaving the room
- 10. Phone numbers entered (RN, Attending, and AP if available)





LTM/cEEG:

- 1. Square Wave & Biological Cal (10 seconds each)
- 2. Begin Study in Longitudinal Bipolar Montage
- 3. Impedance Check at Beginning of Study
- 4. Test A/V during beginning of study
- Eyes Open/Eyes Closed x2 (20 seconds each at beginning)

ACNS guidelines

- 6. Photic Completed (Reason given if unable to complete)
- 7. Hyperventilation Completed (Contraindication given if unable to complete)
- 8. Test Event Marker
- 9. Impedance Check before leaving the room
- 10. Phone numbers entered (RN, Attending, and AP if available)





2015 Review of Studies – Method

- Take all applicable studies from NK → Export to Excel and arrange randomly to give each study a number
- Use Sample Size online calculator¹ to determine appropriate sample size based on population for each study type
 - Aiming for 95% Confidence Level with 5% or smaller Confidence Interval
- Use Research Randomizer online tool² to determine which studies to review
- Review studies objectively
 - Analyze results
 - Department
 - Individual
- MACORR [Internet]. Toronto(ON): MACORR Research Solutions Online; c2003-2017. [cited 2017 August]. Available from: <u>http://www.macorr.com/sample-size-calculator.htm</u>
- Urbaniak, G. C., & Plous, S. (2015). Research Randomizer (Version 4.0) [Computer software]. Retrieved on August 1, 2017, from <u>http://www.randomizer.org</u>/





2015 Review of Studies - Results



LTM/cEEG: 71.8% Overall 300 out of 1053 studies







Goal Setting

- Short Term Studies: 88.0% in 2015
 - Target Goal: 90%

- LTM/cEEG: 71.8% in 2015
 - Target Goal: 80%

Review and Reassess every Quarter of 2016

Apply for & Achieve ABRET Lab Accreditation





2016 Quarterly Results



2015 Year & 2016 Quarterly Comparisons

2015: 88.0% Goal: 90%

2016 Q1: 93.8% (n=298, N=553) Goal: 95%

■ Y2015 (Jan-Dec) ■ 2016 Q1 (Jan-Mar) ■ 2016 Q2 (Apr-Jun) ■ 2016 Q3 (Jul-Sept)

2016 Q2: 95.1% (n=227, N=546) Goal: 96%

2016 Q3: 92.3% (n=226, N=535) Goal: 96%





2016 Quarterly Results



Key Performance Indicator (Quality Metric - QM) Measured





2016 Quarterly Results – Q4???





2016 Quarterly Results – Q4???







How has this data affected our team

- Knowledge of KPIs and goal setting = RESULTS!
 - Team and individual "buy-in" was wonderful and key to success
- Increased morale and ownership of individual and team results
 - Core Values emphasized <u>Excellence</u>, <u>Teamwork</u>, <u>Accountability</u>
- Incorporated individual results as performance review tool
 - Expectation of 85% completion percentage over all study types
- Bringing on new Ancillary hospitals into our system
 - Can assess differences in studies being performed at other facilities to stress need for standardization across our health system and for importance of EEG Tech run studies





How has this data affected our team

• Analyze results even further:

- Month to month trends and explore correlations
- Registered vs. non-registered
- Years of experience & education
- Days & Night Shifts
- Inpatient & Outpatient studies
- EEG Tech vs. RT/Cardio Techs
- Gained ABRET Lab Accreditation!!
 - LTM Accreditation is next!!
- Continued commitment to excellence in our field and most importantly to our <u>patients</u>!!





THANK YOU!

